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WHO WE ARE

The Sorenson Impact Center is an applied academic institution at the University of Utah’s David Eccles School of Business dedicated to catalyzing high impact programs, policies and investments. The Center works across sectors to develop and implement innovative and data-driven strategies to address difficult social and public health challenges.

The Center’s work is performed across four broad pillars:

▲ Advising stakeholders on implementing **evidence-based policies and programs** aimed at measurably improving the lives of at-risk individuals and families;

▲ Facilitating **impact investment** in the US and abroad from foundations and home offices;

▲ Deploying **venture capital** on catalytic impact investment opportunities in the US and abroad; and

▲ **Academic** research, publication, and teaching in the areas of social impact and entrepreneurship.
KNOW YOUR BUDGET

Controlling the numbers rather than letting the numbers control you
AGENDA

• What is a Budget?
• Transparency in Budgeting
• Fund Budgeting
• Quick Review of Revenues and Expenses
• Budget Creep and Other Thoughts
• Budgeting for Outcomes
• Questions/Contact Information?
WHAT IS A BUDGET?

• More than a simple accounting of revenue and expenditures
• The way one demonstrates priorities – “Show Me the Money!”
• The most important responsibility of the Legislative Body
• Not scary or boring!
• Your opportunity to talk to and hear from the public about how you are spending their money
WHY TRANSPARENT BUDGETING MATTERS

• Taxpayers trust you with their hard earned money. They deserve to know what you’ve accomplished with the money they provide.

• Transparency Tips:
  – Public Budget Meetings
  – De-Mystify the Numbers
  – Narrative Description of Budget
  – Numbers and Narrative Posted on Website
  – Quarterly Budget Updates on Council Agenda
  – Honest Discussions – Cut Rhetoric
  – FOCUS ON OUTCOMES
TRANSPARENCY IS NOT A FAD
Fund Budgeting

Accountability vs. Profitability

&

Governmental vs. Proprietary

- General Fund and Related
- Capital Project Funds
- Debt Service Funds
- Enterprise Funds
- Internal Service Funds
- Special Revenue Funds
REVENUES AND EXPENSES

• Focus on **Revenue**
• Keep an Eye on **Expenses**
  – Hard to control
  – Never fund full-time employees – or for that matter other ongoing budget gifts – for Christmas!
STRETCH DOLLARS – WATCH FOR NATURAL UNDER EXPEND

- Staff turn over often results in under expend
- Reward departments for creating under expend by sharing the savings with one-time wish lists
- **Begin to focus on outcomes rather than outputs**
BUDGET CREEP AND OTHER THOUGHTS

• **BUDGET CREEP:**
  – Mid-Year Reclassifications
  – Hiring Above the Entry Level
  – Accrual of Comp Time
  – Grants Awarded
  – Contracts
  – OPEB

• **OTHER BUDGET FOCUSED IDEAS:**
  – If you don’t understand it, don’t vote on it until you do
  – *Become a quality-focused organization – outcome oriented and continuous improvement based top to bottom*
  – *Be creative and test new ideas*
  – *Do not be afraid to educate the public about the cost of services*
DO IT – DON’T JUST SAY IT

- Become a quality-focused organization – outcome oriented and continuous improvement based top to bottom
- Be creative and test new ideas
- Do not be afraid to educate the public about the cost of services

ASK YOURSELF:

✓ Do we have realistic short, medium and long term goals – or do we just try to get from one budget year to the next?

✓ Do we talk about who we are serving and how well we are doing?

✓ Are our dollars spent on the most effective programs? How do we know?
### GOOD AT MEASURING OUTPUTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTPUT MEASURE</th>
<th>INDICATOR TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of people who received job training per year</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of mothers who received pre-natal care/ quarter</td>
<td>Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle time from referral to treatment in mental health</td>
<td>Service Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per jail bed per day</td>
<td>Unit Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average homeless families/individual/case worker</td>
<td>Service Quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ADVANTAGES

- Budget policy discussions focus on what is accomplished rather than how much is spent
- Creates a culture of continuous improvement throughout the organization
- Forces government to think about systems, not just programs
- Provides a higher level of public accountability
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OUTPUT MEASURE</th>
<th>OUTCOME GOAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in number of months people are employed after receiving training</td>
<td>Decrease unemployment rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in percentage of low birth-weight babies</td>
<td>Decrease infant mortality rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease rate of readmission to acute care within 30, 60 and 180 days</td>
<td>Improve the mental health of the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase rate of diversion from jail for non serious offenders; decrease recidivism rate</td>
<td>Eliminate overcrowding in jail/need for more jail beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease rate of readmission to homeless facility within 30, 60 and 180 days</td>
<td>End chronic homelessness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PERFORMANCE BUDGETING:
“Similar to program budgeting, this budgeting approach also uses programs or activities as budget units, and presents information on program goals and performance. This budget system places emphasis on incorporating program performance information into the budget development and appropriations process, and allocating resources to achieve measureable results.”

OUTCOME MEASURE:
This is a measure of the result associated with a program or service. Outcome measures can be short- or long-term results that can be directly linked to a government program or service. Examples include the percentage of students reading at grade level, air quality, or the traffic fatality rate. Outcome measures are often the most desirable measures but the most difficult to use and analyze, as major system outcomes are generally derived from a variety of services, products and activities, and isolating the root cause of change is often challenging.

NASBO, 2015
8 STEPS FOR OUTCOME BASED BUDGET

1. Determine Price of Government
2. Identify High Priority Areas
3. Allocate Revenue to Priorities
4. Develop Requests for Results
5. Request Proposals to Achieve Identified Outcomes
6. Rank Proposals
7. Create Final Budget
8. Implement/Monitor/Evaluate

*See process map for priority driven budget.
GFOA, 2012
OUTCOME BASED BUDGET

**GOAL:** Shift thought from what we are spending to what we are buying

**PRESENTLY:** 95% of all spending decisions are based on what we did last year

*Focus tends to only be on the new money*
High level engagement is required

Budget office full buy-in is required

Agencies must see real value

Do NOT use this as a budget cutting tool or a staff reduction tool

Agencies must build knowledge base and capacity for their data & results

Build in protection that allows agencies to benefit from innovation

Statutory framework *may* help ensure continuity

Careful selection of measures is required to ensure they are meaningful

Integration of performance data into communication pieces increases the opportunities for successful use of performance information

Outcomes-based budgeting is a tool – not a cure all

System must remain flexible
GOV’T HISTORY OF PERFORMANCE-BASED BUDGETING

• **1870’s:** Performance budgeting introduced in reaction to local government abuses
• **1950:** Budget Accounting and Procedures Act; agency leads to provide budget performance data
• **1950’s:** President’s Bureau of Budget; performance measures used for efficiency and effectiveness
• **1960-2000:** More than fifty countries follow the US lead in performance based budgeting
• **1960’s:** Planning, Programming, Budget System (PPBS)
• **1970’s:** Management by Objectives (MBO) and Zero-Based Budgeting (ZBB)
• **1980’s:** Top Down and Fixed Ceiling Budgeting
• **1990’s:** Performance data goes public; prior to that it was internal data
• **1990’s:** State and Local governments start to experiment with Performance Budgeting and Total Quality Management
• **1993:** Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA); agencies to use performance managing tasks – including goal setting, measuring results, and reporting progress
• **1994:** Government Management and Results Act (GMRA); extends provisions across federal gov’t
• **1994:** OMB Circular A-11 Revision; program funding justified by performance metrics and goals
• **1996-2000:** Federal agencies mandated to use outcomes-based performance metrics in budgeting
• **2002:** Performance Assessment Rating Tool (PART); OMB develops and integrates performance measurement but not performance budgeting per se
• **2010:** OMB issued 128 High Priority Performance Goals (HPPG)
• **2012:** New York City Social Impact Bond issued (first Pay for Success transaction)
PAY FOR SUCCESS AS A BREAKTHROUGH

• **Running government like a business:** PFS demands increased rigor around outcome measures – investors must have confidence since the measures will trigger repayment

• **Thinking beyond budget silos:** PFS requires a systems view and a system-wide focus on accountability (remember accountability vs. profitability)

• **Budgeting beyond one year:** PFS requires looking beyond the current budget year – usually 5-7 year view

• **Linking program revenue to outcome!!!**
- Cost/Benefit Analysis Tool: **THINK**
- 5-year budget model planning tool: **PLAN**
- Activity-based Cost Accounting tool: **DO**

---

**Five Year Financial Projection Model**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Name</th>
<th>Tab Name</th>
<th>Tab Color</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Instructions</td>
<td>Instructions</td>
<td></td>
<td>This tab provides general instructions for using and updating the model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Inputs</td>
<td>Inputs</td>
<td></td>
<td>This tab captures the inputs necessary to generate revenue and expense projections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Reports</td>
<td>Summary Dashboard</td>
<td></td>
<td>Displays high-level summary outputs based on user inputs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Revenue and Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td>A detailed display of annual revenue and expense projections, per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fund Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td>Calculated annual fund balance based on revenue and expense projections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RECENT GOVERNMENT ENGAGEMENTS

- Salt Lake Valley Law Enforcement Service Area
- United States Virgin Islands Budget Office
- United States Virgin Islands Finance Office
- Pima County, Arizona
- Salt Lake County, Utah
- Boise, Idaho
- Missoula County, Montana
- Las Vegas, Nevada
- State of Colorado
- State of Utah
Daniel Hadley, Data Scientist
Contact Us:

Sorenson Impact Center
info@sorensonimpactcenter.com
(801) 581-6191
www.sorensonimpactcenter.com