ULCT Resolution 2016-005

Encouraging the Utah State Legislature to support local government use of 311 for non-emergency use

We, the Members of the Utah League of Cities and Towns find that:

- 1. In 1996 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) reserved the use of 311 for national non-emergency use.
- 2. The 311 non-emergency system allows citizens to access their local government for such non-emergency calls issues as loss of water service, potholes, street light outages, and graffiti.
- 3. The 311 number is intended as a one-call approach for communication between residents and municipalities.
- 4. The 311 moves citizens away from using the emergency service number, 911, and instead provides a non-emergency option to report issues and receive information by increasing access to government services.
- 5. Over 100 major cities and counties nationwide are currently using the 311 option as their non-emergency, customer service contact line. Other cities and counties, including some in Utah, are considering adopting the number.
- 6. For consistency purposes, it's important to have a predictable phone number.
- 7. The 311 service provides detailed reporting of concerns which then creates a more accurate tracking system.
- 8. The 311 service was specifically reserved for municipal purposes and not for emergency hotlines.

Now, therefore, we the members of the Utah League of Cities and Towns recommend that:

- 1. The 311 service continues as an option for non-emergency calls and municipal customer service.
- 2. The State of Utah utilize other phone numbers or tools for emergency hotlines.

Submitted by,

John Curtis Mayor, Provo City Immediate Past President, Utah League of Cities and Towns

Signatures supporting ULCT Resolution 2016—005

Per Section II (5) of the Utah League of Cities and Towns bylaws, a resolution submitted after the close of the Resolutions Committee but before the beginning of the ULCT business meeting must have the supporting signatures of twenty voting delegates. The ULCT Resolutions Committee met on Tuesday, September 13 and discussed the concept of the 311 resolution (2016—005). In support of the 2016—005 resolution, Provo City provides the following twenty signatures of voting delegates:

	NAME	CITY	POSITION
1.	Steve HIGH	Grantsville	City Council
2.	Steve HIGH	Kapsvilk	MAJOR
3.	BS Freversin	LATTO	MAROK
		Pleasurs Visw	MAYOR
5.	Reth Illnot	- Prontin	City Council
6.	Debby Laure	t Orem	City Courcie
7.	beg Worman	Preso	Deputy Mayor
8.	CHIP DAWSON	St. Gung,	Mayor
9.	CHIP DAWSON	SOUTH JORDAN	
10.		Provo	City Council
11	lestill al h	Jakug Ma City	MAYOR
	Mailenilson	Codar City	Mayor
13.	manuel O. anderson	sphraen, ut	Courcil Nemdes
14.	an heen	Hemman	Marjor
15.		Orem	City Council

16. Kim Santiago	Provo City	council
17. WAJABTRYW	WVC	MANARISZ
18. The Strake		City MEZ.
19. Alm & the	Housony	COUNCIL.
20. Z/R 9M	BONNIFUL	CITY MONOGER

Per Article X Section 1 of the Utah League of Cities and Towns constitution, an introduction of new resolution shall require a two-thirds majority vote of the Convention during the business session.